THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINAN WESTERN DIVISION

US District Court Eastern District of NC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	}
VS	<pre>} DEFENDANT'S } MOTION TO CONTINUE</pre>
Carlos J. Davila	} (18 USC 3161(h)(8)) }
Pursuant to 18 USC 3161 (h)(8) and to Local Rule 4.11, that the above-captioned case be continued from the present the Defendant sets forth the following: 1. Needs time to find an atom.	docket. In support of this motion,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2. This is the 2 continuance filed by the Defendant in the Assistant United States Attorney does/does not object to	
3. I understand that if this motion is granted, I must next ap 10 Nov 05 court do result in the issuance of an arrest warrant.	opear in court at 8:30 a.m. on the ocket, and that failure to appear may
Submitted on: 19 0 a 65	Defendant or attorney for the Defendant
INITIAL DOCKET:	Detendant of paroline, for the Defendant
The court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial for the following reason(s):	
[] Failure to do so would likely result in a miscarriage of justice. [] The unusual nature or complexity of the case makes it unreasonable to expect the Defendant to adequately prepare for pretrial proceedings or trial within the time limits set forth in 18 USC 3161.	
[] Failure to do so would deny the Defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel; [] Failure to do so would unreasonably deny the Defendant continuity of counsel; [] Failure to do so would deny the Defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
Accordingly, the continuance is ALLOWED. The intervening time from to to is excluded from speedy trial computation under 18 USC 3161.	
OCT 19 2005	D STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date